

# $C^*$ -Algebras Generated by Arrays of Projections

Bruce Blackadar

December 2008

Origin: talks by S. Vaes, R. Vergnioux, T. Banica at Oberwolfach,  
August 2005

Origin: talks by S. Vaes, R. Vergnioux, T. Banica at Oberwolfach, August 2005

If  $m, n \geq 2$ , let  $Q_{mn}$  be the universal  $C^*$ -algebra generated by an array

$$\{q_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$$

of projections satisfying the *array condition*:

For each fixed  $i$ , or for each fixed  $j$ , the projections  $\{q_{ij}\}$  are mutually orthogonal.

For  $Q_{mn}$ , the array condition is equivalent to:  
The  $m \times n$  matrix

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} q_{11} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & q_{1n} \\ \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & & \cdot & \cdot \\ q_{m1} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & q_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a partial isometry (i.e.  $B^*B$  is a projection in  $M_n(Q_{mn})$  and hence  $BB^*$  is a projection in  $M_m(Q_{mn})$ .)

Let  $Q_{mn}^r$  be the universal unital  $C^*$ -algebra generated by an array

$$\{q_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$$

of projections satisfying the array condition and the additional condition

$$\sum_{j=1}^n q_{ij} = 1$$

for each  $i$ .

Let  $Q_{mn}^r$  be the universal unital  $C^*$ -algebra generated by an array

$$\{q_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$$

of projections satisfying the array condition and the additional condition

$$\sum_{j=1}^n q_{ij} = 1$$

for each  $i$ .

### Proposition.

If  $\{q_{ij}\}$  is an array of projections on a nontrivial Hilbert space satisfying the relations in  $Q_{mn}^r$ , then

- (i)  $m \leq n$ .
- (ii) If  $m = n$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^n q_{ij} = 1$  for all  $j$ .

Write  $A_s(n)$  for  $Q_{nn}^r$ .  $A_s(n)$  is the universal unital  $C^*$ -algebra generated by an  $n \times n$  array  $\{q_{ij}\}$  of projections satisfying the array condition and such that each row and column sum to 1.

Write  $A_s(n)$  for  $Q_{nn}^r$ .  $A_s(n)$  is the universal unital  $C^*$ -algebra generated by an  $n \times n$  array  $\{q_{ij}\}$  of projections satisfying the array condition and such that each row and column sum to 1.

Equivalently:  $A_s(n)$  is the universal (necessarily unital)  $C^*$ -algebra generated by an  $n \times n$  array  $\{q_{ij}\}$  of projections such that

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} q_{11} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & q_{1n} \\ \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & & \cdot & \cdot \\ q_{n1} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & q_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a unitary (or just an isometry) in  $M_n(A_s(n))$ .  
The  $A_s(n)$  were first introduced by S. Wang.



Write  $A_s(n)$  for  $Q_{nn}^r$ .  $A_s(n)$  is the universal unital  $C^*$ -algebra generated by an  $n \times n$  array  $\{q_{ij}\}$  of projections satisfying the array condition and such that each row and column sum to 1.

Equivalently:  $A_s(n)$  is the universal (necessarily unital)  $C^*$ -algebra generated by an  $n \times n$  array  $\{q_{ij}\}$  of projections such that

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} q_{11} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & q_{1n} \\ \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & & \cdot & \cdot \\ q_{n1} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & q_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a unitary (or just an isometry) in  $M_n(A_s(n))$ .  
The  $A_s(n)$  were first introduced by S. Wang.

$A_s(n)$  is finite-dimensional and commutative for  $n = 2, 3$ , and infinite-dimensional and noncommutative for  $n \geq 4$  (discussed later).

## Abelianization of $A_S(n)$

Let  $A_S(n)_{ab}$  be the abelianization of  $A_S(n)$ .

### Theorem.

$$A_S(n)_{ab} \cong C(S_n) \cong \mathbb{C}^{n!}$$

## Abelianization of $A_S(n)$

Let  $A_S(n)_{ab}$  be the abelianization of  $A_S(n)$ .

### Theorem.

$$A_S(n)_{ab} \cong C(S_n) \cong \mathbb{C}^{n!}$$

Regard  $S_n$  as the set of  $n \times n$  permutation matrices.  $C(S_n)$  has  $n!$  minimal projections  $\{p_\sigma : \sigma \in S_n\}$ . For each  $(i, j)$ , set

$$p_{ij} = \sum_{\sigma_{ij}=1} p_\sigma.$$

The map  $q_{ij} \mapsto p_{ij}$  is an isomorphism from  $A_S(n)_{ab}$  to  $C(S_n)$ .

Thus  $A_s(n)$  can be regarded as a “noncommutative symmetric group.” It is, in fact, a compact quantum group, the universal quantum group of quantum symmetries of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  (S. Wang). The comultiplication is given by

$$\mu : A_s(n) \rightarrow A_s(n) \otimes A_s(n)$$

$$\mu(q_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^n q_{ik} \otimes q_{kj}$$

Thus  $A_s(n)$  can be regarded as a “noncommutative symmetric group.” It is, in fact, a compact quantum group, the universal quantum group of quantum symmetries of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  (S. Wang). The comultiplication is given by

$$\mu : A_s(n) \rightarrow A_s(n) \otimes A_s(n)$$

$$\mu(q_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^n q_{ik} \otimes q_{kj}$$

**Remark:** The above formula also gives a comultiplication on  $Q_{nn}$ , making  $Q_{nn}$  into some sort of “quantum group,” which is noncompact since  $Q_{nn}$  is nonunital. The range of  $\mu$  appears to be “too small” to make  $Q_{nn}$  a true quantum group. Although  $Q_{nn}$  is nonunital, the comultiplication is a homomorphism from  $Q_{nn}$  into  $Q_{nn} \otimes Q_{nn}$ , not just into the multiplier algebra.

## Preliminary Observations

$Q_{mn} \cong Q_{nm}$ ; so may assume  $m \leq n$  (automatic for  $Q_{mn}^r$ )

## Preliminary Observations

$Q_{mn} \cong Q_{nm}$ ; so may assume  $m \leq n$  (automatic for  $Q_{mn}^r$ )

Any permutation of the rows or of the columns of the array gives an automorphism of  $Q_{mn}$  or of  $Q_{mn}^r$  or  $A_s(n)$  ( $S_m \times S_n$  acts as automorphisms of  $Q_{mn}$  etc.) Transpose also gives an automorphism of  $Q_{mn}$  or of  $A_s(n)$ .

In particular, for any  $i, j, k, l$ ,  $q_{ij}$  is conjugate to  $q_{kl}$  under an automorphism of  $Q_{mn}$  ( $Q_{mn}^r, A_s(n)$ ).

## Preliminary Observations

$Q_{mn} \cong Q_{nm}$ ; so may assume  $m \leq n$  (automatic for  $Q_{mn}^r$ )

Any permutation of the rows or of the columns of the array gives an automorphism of  $Q_{mn}$  or of  $Q_{mn}^r$  or  $A_S(n)$  ( $S_m \times S_n$  acts as automorphisms of  $Q_{mn}$  etc.) Transpose also gives an automorphism of  $Q_{mn}$  or of  $A_S(n)$ .

In particular, for any  $i, j, k, l$ ,  $q_{ij}$  is conjugate to  $q_{kl}$  under an automorphism of  $Q_{mn}$  ( $Q_{mn}^r, A_S(n)$ ).

But note that  $q_{ij}$  is not equivalent to  $q_{kl}$  in  $Q_{mn}$  ( $Q_{mn}^r, A_S(n)$ ) unless  $i = k$  and  $j = l$  (discussed later).



## Relations Between the Algebras

If  $m_1 \leq m$  and  $n_1 \leq n$ , the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $Q_{mn}$  generated by

$$\{q_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq m_1, 1 \leq j \leq n_1\}$$

is called a *subarray subalgebra* of  $Q_{mn}$  of size  $(m_1, n_1)$ . Similarly for  $A_s(n)$ .

### Proposition.

A subarray subalgebra  $B$  of  $Q_{mn}$  of size  $(m_1, n_1)$  is isomorphic to  $Q_{m_1, n_1}$ .

There is an obvious homomorphism  $\phi$  from  $Q_{m_1, n_1}$  onto  $B$ . There is also a homomorphism  $\psi$  from  $Q_{mn}$  to  $Q_{m_1, n_1}$  sending  $q_{ij}$  to  $q_{ij}$  if  $1 \leq i \leq m_1$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n_1$  and sending  $q_{ij}$  to 0 if  $i > m_1$  or  $j > n_1$ .  $\psi \circ \phi$  is the identity on  $Q_{m_1, n_1}$ .

So  $Q_{m_1, n_1}$  naturally embeds in  $Q_{mn}$ , and there is a retraction from  $Q_{mn}$  onto  $Q_{m_1, n_1}$ .

There is a similar homomorphism  $\phi$  from  $Q_{mn}$  onto a subarray subalgebra of  $A_s(k)$  for  $k \geq \max(m, n)$ , which is not injective in general. There is a homomorphism  $\psi$  from  $A_s(n+m)$  onto  $\tilde{Q}_{mn}$  defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} q_{11} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & q_{1n} & p_1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & & & \cdot & \cdot & & & & \cdot \\ q_{m1} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & q_{mn} & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & p_m \\ r_1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & q_{11} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & q_{m1} \\ \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & & & \cdot & \cdot & & & & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & r_n & q_{1n} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & q_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $p_i = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^n q_{ij}$ ,  $r_j = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^m q_{ij}$ .

Then  $\psi \circ \phi$  is the identity on  $Q_{mn}$ , so  $\phi : Q_{mn} \rightarrow A_S(m+n)$  is injective.

Then  $\psi \circ \phi$  is the identity on  $Q_{mn}$ , so  $\phi : Q_{mn} \rightarrow A_s(m+n)$  is injective.

**Question:**

What is the smallest  $k$  such that the map  $\phi : Q_{mn} \rightarrow A_s(k)$  is injective?

We have  $\max(m+2, n+2) \leq k \leq m+n$ .

There is no obvious embedding of  $A_s(m)$  into  $A_s(n)$  for  $m < n$ .  
 But there is a homomorphism from  $A_s(n)$  onto  $A_s(m)$  given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} q_{11} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & q_{1m} & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot & \cdot \\ q_{m1} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & q_{mm} & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and thus  $A_s(m)$  is a quotient of  $A_s(n)$  for  $m < n$ .

## Structure of $Q_{22}$

$Q_{22}$  is generated by 4 projections

$$\{q_{11}, q_{12}, q_{21}, q_{22}\}.$$

The relations are  $q_{11} \perp q_{12}, q_{21}$  and  $q_{22} \perp q_{12}, q_{21}$ . There are no relations between  $q_{11}$  and  $q_{22}$ , or between  $q_{12}$  and  $q_{21}$ .

Thus the positive elements  $q_{11} + q_{22}$  and  $q_{12} + q_{21}$  are orthogonal, and  $Q_{22}$  is the direct sum of the hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebras they generate. Each hereditary subalgebra is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C} * \mathbb{C}$  (the universal  $C^*$ -algebra generated by 2 projections).

## Structure of $Q_{22}$

$Q_{22}$  is generated by 4 projections

$$\{q_{11}, q_{12}, q_{21}, q_{22}\}.$$

The relations are  $q_{11} \perp q_{12}, q_{21}$  and  $q_{22} \perp q_{12}, q_{21}$ . There are no relations between  $q_{11}$  and  $q_{22}$ , or between  $q_{12}$  and  $q_{21}$ .

Thus the positive elements  $q_{11} + q_{22}$  and  $q_{12} + q_{21}$  are orthogonal, and  $Q_{22}$  is the direct sum of the hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebras they generate. Each hereditary subalgebra is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C} * \mathbb{C}$  (the universal  $C^*$ -algebra generated by 2 projections).

So  $Q_{22}$  is infinite-dimensional and noncommutative, but 2-subhomogeneous.  $Q_{22} \subseteq Q_{mn}$  for all  $m, n \geq 2$ , so all  $Q_{mn}$  are infinite-dimensional and noncommutative. Since  $A_s(4)$  contains a  $C^*$ -subalgebra isomorphic to  $Q_{22}$ ,  $A_s(4)$  is also infinite-dimensional and noncommutative. If  $n > 4$ ,  $A_s(4)$  is a quotient of  $A_s(n)$ , so  $A_s(n)$  is also infinite-dimensional and noncommutative.



## Structure of $A_5(4)$

**Banica:**  $A_5(4)$  has many 4-dimensional representations, most of which are irreducible. Specifically, there is a homomorphism  $\rho$  from  $A_5(4)$  to  $C(SU(2), \mathbb{M}_4)$  which is “inner faithful.”

It should be possible to construct higher-dimensional irreducible representations of  $A_5(4)$  by fusion, using the comultiplication.

## Structure of $A_s(4)$

**Banica:**  $A_s(4)$  has many 4-dimensional representations, most of which are irreducible. Specifically, there is a homomorphism  $\rho$  from  $A_s(4)$  to  $C(SU(2), \mathbb{M}_4)$  which is “inner faithful.”

It should be possible to construct higher-dimensional irreducible representations of  $A_s(4)$  by fusion, using the comultiplication.

It does at least seem plausible that every irreducible representation of  $A_s(4)$  is finite-dimensional, so  $A_s(4)$  is Type I (CCR).

## Structure of $Q_{23}$

### Theorem.

$Q_{mn}$  is not exact unless  $m = n = 2$ . In particular,  $Q_{23}$  is not exact.

## Structure of $Q_{23}$

### Theorem.

$Q_{mn}$  is not exact unless  $m = n = 2$ . In particular,  $Q_{23}$  is not exact.

### Lemma.

The  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $Q_{23}$  generated by  $\{q_{11}, q_{22}, q_{13}\}$  is isomorphic to the universal  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  generated by three projections  $p, q, r$  with the relation  $p \perp r$ .

There is an obvious homomorphism  $\phi$  from  $B$  to the  $C^*$ -subalgebra. There is also a homomorphism  $\psi : Q_{23} \rightarrow B$  sending  $q_{11}$  to  $p$ ,  $q_{22}$  to  $q$ ,  $q_{13}$  to  $r$ , and the other generators to  $0$ ;  $\psi \circ \phi$  is the identity on  $B$ .

### Lemma.

There is a surjective homomorphism from  $\tilde{B}$  to  $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_3 * \mathbb{Z}_2)$ .

The map sends  $p$  and  $r$  to two of the spectral projections of the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  generator, and  $q$  to a spectral projection of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  generator.

### Lemma.

There is a surjective homomorphism from  $\tilde{B}$  to  $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_3 * \mathbb{Z}_2)$ .

The map sends  $p$  and  $r$  to two of the spectral projections of the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  generator, and  $q$  to a spectral projection of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  generator.

Since  $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \subseteq C^*(\mathbb{Z}_3 * \mathbb{Z}_2)$ ,  $\tilde{Q}_{23}$  contains a  $C^*$ -subalgebra with  $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$  as a quotient, and thus is not exact. So  $Q_{23}$  is not exact. If  $m + n \geq 5$ , then  $Q_{23}$  embeds in  $Q_{mn}$ , so  $Q_{mn}$  is not exact.

Since  $Q_{23} \subseteq A_5(5)$ , which is a quotient of  $A_5(n)$  for any  $n > 5$ , we obtain:

**Corollary.**

$A_5(n)$  is not exact for  $n \geq 5$ .

Since  $Q_{23} \subseteq A_5(5)$ , which is a quotient of  $A_5(n)$  for any  $n > 5$ , we obtain:

### Corollary.

$A_5(n)$  is not exact for  $n \geq 5$ .

Related result:

### Theorem

(Banica). The discrete quantum group associated with  $A_5(n)$  for  $n \geq 5$  is not amenable.

This is possibly a noncommutative version of the fact that  $S_n$  is not solvable for  $n \geq 5$ , and should mean that  $A_5(n)$  is not nuclear for  $n \geq 5$ .



## K-Theory

If the full free product  $\mathbb{C}^{*mn}$  of  $mn$  copies of  $\mathbb{C}$  is regarded as the universal  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $mn$  projections, and the finite-dimensional commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathbb{C}^{mn}$  is regarded as the universal  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $mn$  mutually orthogonal projections, there are natural homomorphisms

$$\mathbb{C}^{*mn} \xrightarrow{\phi} Q_{mn} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbb{C}^{mn}.$$

## K-Theory

If the full free product  $\mathbb{C}^{*mn}$  of  $mn$  copies of  $\mathbb{C}$  is regarded as the universal  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $mn$  projections, and the finite-dimensional commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathbb{C}^{mn}$  is regarded as the universal  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $mn$  mutually orthogonal projections, there are natural homomorphisms

$$\mathbb{C}^{*mn} \xrightarrow{\phi} Q_{mn} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbb{C}^{mn}.$$

### Theorem.

The maps  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are stable homotopy equivalences. So  $Q_{mn}$  is  $KK$ -equivalent to the finite-dimensional commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathbb{C}^{mn}$ .

$\psi \circ \phi : \mathbb{C}^{*mn} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{mn}$  is a *KK*-equivalence (Cuntz). Examination of Cuntz's proof, checking that the array relations are satisfied at a crucial point, shows that it applies verbatim to show that  $\psi$  is a *KK*-equivalence, and in fact a stable homotopy equivalence.

$\psi \circ \phi : \mathbb{C}^{*mn} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{mn}$  is a *KK*-equivalence (Cuntz). Examination of Cuntz's proof, checking that the array relations are satisfied at a crucial point, shows that it applies verbatim to show that  $\psi$  is a *KK*-equivalence, and in fact a stable homotopy equivalence.

### Corollary.

$K_0(Q_{mn}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{mn}$  as ordered groups, and

$$\{[q_{ij}] : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$$

are free generators of  $K_0(Q_{mn})$ ; the  $q_{ij}$  are minimal projections in  $Q_{mn}$ . Also,  $K_1(Q_{mn}) = 0$ .

So, although  $Q_{mn}$  is not exact unless  $m = n = 2$ , it is  $K$ -theoretically tractable.

So, although  $Q_{mn}$  is not exact unless  $m = n = 2$ , it is  $K$ -theoretically tractable.

The  $K$ -theory of  $A_s(n)$  is (apparently) more difficult to compute. We have only partial information. Consider the case  $n = 4$ . The 16 elements  $\{[q_{ij}] : 1 \leq i, j \leq 4\}$  in  $K_0(A_s(4))$  are not independent; there are 3 (independent) relations from the equality of the row sums, and 4 more (one redundant) relations from the equality with the column sums. Thus the group they generate has rank at most 10, generated by the 10 elements

$$\{[q_{ij}] : 1 \leq i, j \leq 3\} \cup \{[q_{14}]\}.$$

A brute-force calculation shows that the images of these elements in  $K_0(A_s(4)_{ab}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{24}$  are linearly independent and generate a direct summand. Thus they generate a subgroup of  $K_0(A_s(n))$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^{10}$  which is a direct summand. We may conjecture that this is all of  $K_0(A_s(4))$ .

Similarly, the obvious subgroup of  $K_0(A_s(n))$  has  $n^2$  generators and  $2n - 2$  relations, so  $K_0(A_s(n))$  should have a direct summand of rank

$$n^2 - 2n + 2 = (n - 1)^2 + 1.$$

This subgroup is the image of  $K_0(\tilde{Q}_{n-1,n-1})$  under the natural homomorphism corresponding to mapping  $Q_{n-1,n-1}$  onto a subarray subalgebra.

Similarly, the obvious subgroup of  $K_0(A_s(n))$  has  $n^2$  generators and  $2n - 2$  relations, so  $K_0(A_s(n))$  should have a direct summand of rank

$$n^2 - 2n + 2 = (n - 1)^2 + 1.$$

This subgroup is the image of  $K_0(\tilde{Q}_{n-1,n-1})$  under the natural homomorphism corresponding to mapping  $Q_{n-1,n-1}$  onto a subarray subalgebra.

### Conjecture.

If  $n \geq 4$ , then  $K_0(A_s(n)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n^2-2n+2}$  and  $K_1(A_s(n)) = 0$ .

A note of caution, though: the formula is false for  $n = 3$ . It can be argued that this is an exceptional case. The formula is true for  $n = 2$ , which should also be an exceptional case.



## Semiprojectivity

### Definition.

A separable  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is *semiprojective* if, for any  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$ , increasing sequence  $\langle J_n \rangle$  of (closed two-sided) ideals of  $B$ , with  $J = [\cup J_n]^-$ , and  $*$ -homomorphism  $\phi : A \rightarrow B/J$ , there is an  $n$  and a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\psi : A \rightarrow B/J_n$  such that  $\phi = \pi \circ \psi$ , where  $\pi : B/J_n \rightarrow B/J$  is the natural quotient map.

**Proposition:**

$Q_{22}$  is semiprojective.

## Proposition:

$Q_{22}$  is semiprojective.

**Proof:** If  $\{q_{ij}\}$  are projections in  $B/J$  satisfying condition for  $Q_{22}$ , then  $c = q_{11} + q_{22}$  and  $d = q_{12} + q_{21}$  are orthogonal positive elements in  $B/J$ . Lift these to orthogonal positive elements  $a, b \in B$  by Loring or as follows: let  $y = c - d$ . Then  $y = y^*$ ; let  $x = x^*$  be a preimage in  $B$ , and let  $a = x_+$ ,  $b = x_-$ . Replace  $B$  by  $\overline{aBa}$ , etc., and lift  $q_{11}$  and  $q_{22}$ ; then replace  $B$  by  $\overline{bBb}$  and lift  $q_{12}$  and  $q_{21}$ .

What about  $Q_{23}$  or more general  $Q_{mn}$ ?

For  $Q_{23}$ , can partially lift any 5 of the generators with the right relations, but hard to lift the last one.

If  $Q_{23}$  is semiprojective, it cannot be proved by simple sequential lifting of the generators; the generators must be lifted simultaneously in a subtle way.

What about  $Q_{23}$  or more general  $Q_{mn}$ ?

For  $Q_{23}$ , can partially lift any 5 of the generators with the right relations, but hard to lift the last one.

If  $Q_{23}$  is semiprojective, it cannot be proved by simple sequential lifting of the generators; the generators must be lifted simultaneously in a subtle way.

Cause for optimism: same type of difficulties seem to arise in trying to show directly that  $O_m \otimes O_n$  is semiprojective ( $2 \leq m, n \leq \infty$ ). But many, maybe all, of these are semiprojective.

What about  $Q_{23}$  or more general  $Q_{mn}$ ?

For  $Q_{23}$ , can partially lift any 5 of the generators with the right relations, but hard to lift the last one.

If  $Q_{23}$  is semiprojective, it cannot be proved by simple sequential lifting of the generators; the generators must be lifted simultaneously in a subtle way.

Cause for optimism: same type of difficulties seem to arise in trying to show directly that  $O_m \otimes O_n$  is semiprojective ( $2 \leq m, n \leq \infty$ ). But many, maybe all, of these are semiprojective.

What about  $A_s(n)$ ? Easy to show: if  $Q_{nn}$  is semiprojective, then  $A_s(n)$  is semiprojective. Converse is unclear.